arXiv:1612.01493v1 [physics.class-ph] 23 Nov 2016

Exact solutions to the nonlinear Maxwell equations in the orthogonal curvilinear coordinates

Liang Hu[†], Xiao Zhang, and MaoKang Luo[*]
Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University 610065, Chengdu, Sichuan, China
(Dated: December 6, 2016)

Characterizing electromagnetic wave propagation in nonlinear and inhomogeneous media is of great interest from both theoretical and practical perspectives, even though it is extremely complicated. In fact, it is still an unresolved issue to find the exact solutions to the nonlinear waves in the orthogonal curvilinear coordinates. In this paper, we propose a method to exactly solve the Maxwell equations in arbitrary nonlinear and particular inhomogeneous media without dispersion. The obtained solutions can be used to describe various phenomena including cylindrical shock wave, sum- and difference-frequency and spherical harmonics in nonlinear optics.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 02.30.Jr

Along with the discovery of media possessing nonlinear electromagnetic properties, understanding electromagnetic wave propagation in nonlinear media has become a research topic in physics [1–3]. Given the fact that most of medium in nature or artificial metamaterials are nonlinear and inhomogeneous, it is of special importance to study the behavior of electromagnetic fields in medium with these two properties. However, this problem is yet to be solved [4, 5], especially for exact solutions which are essential in understanding the related physical processes and in developing new computational asymptotic methods. Traditional methods like the inverse scattering method and Bäcklund transformation are efficient for constructing exaction solutions in the theory of plane waves, but they turn out to be inapplicable in cylindrical and spherical waves [6].

Notably, Ref. [4] report that they can obtain an exact solution to the cylindrical electromagnetic wave propagation problem in a medium which has a special form of nonlinearity - with the polarization function being an exponential function. Following their lead, a number of related work has been reported recently [5–14]. To the best of our knowledge, however, no other nonlinear forms are solved for the identical physical model.

In this letter, we present a method to exactly solve nonlinear partial differential equations underlying the electromagnetic wave propagation problem. To demonstrate the idea, here we focus on the Maxwell equations:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(u)\partial_u E(u,t) + k_2(u)E(u,t) &= \partial_t H(u,t), \\ k_3(u)\partial_u H(u,t) + k_4(u)H(u,t) &= \varepsilon(u,E)\partial_t E(u,t), \end{aligned}$$

which characterize the electromagnetic fields in nonmagnetic and nondispersive media in the system of orthogonal curvilinear coordinates (u, v, w), and the fields are independent of v and w (consider E waves with respect to the v axis, viz. v-axis polarization). Here $\varepsilon(u, E) = dD/dE$ is a polarization function. When $k_1(u) = \mu_0^{-1}$ (μ_0 is the permeability of vacuum), $k_2(u) = 0$, $k_3(u) = (\varepsilon_0)^{-1}$ (ε_0 is the permittivity of vacuum), $k_4(u) = (\varepsilon_0 u)^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon(u, E) = \exp(\alpha E)u^{\beta}$, these equations are reduced into a model of cylindrical waves which are studied in Ref. [4–14]. For convenience, we introduce the dimensionless variables $\rho = u/u_0$, $\tau = t(\varepsilon_0\mu_0)^{-1/2}/u_0$, $\mathcal{E} = \frac{E}{N/C}$, and $\mathcal{H} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_0}H}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}(N/C)}$, where u_0 is a constant associated with the characteristic spatial scale, and (N/C) is the unit of electric field strength. Then we can get the dimensionless Maxwell equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\rho)\partial_\rho \mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) + g_2(\rho)\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) &= \partial_\tau \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau), \\ g_3(\rho)\partial_\rho \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) + g_4(\rho)\mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) &= \varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E})\partial_\tau \mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Hereafter, polarization function $\varepsilon(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ is used to represent the media. In contrast with the previous studies starting with a nonlinear system directly [4–14], this work begins with a a linear system and then transforms it into a nonlinear system through a hodograph transformation.

Firstly We start with a linear system as follows:

$$g_1(\rho)\partial_\rho \mathcal{E} + g_2(\rho)\mathcal{E} = \partial_\tau \mathcal{H}, g_3(\rho)\partial_\rho \mathcal{H} + g_4(\rho)\mathcal{H} = \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}, \tag{2}$$

which is derived from system (1) by choosing $\varepsilon(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\rho, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0(\rho, \tau)$ is a solution of linear system (2). Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_5(\rho) &= \exp\left[\int (g_1(\rho))^{-1} g_2(\rho) d\rho\right], \\ g_6(\rho) &= \exp\left[\int (g_3(\rho))^{-1} g_4(\rho) d\rho\right], \\ f(\rho) &= \frac{g_1(\rho)[g_6(\rho)]^2}{g_3(\rho)[g_5(\rho)]^2}, h = \int \frac{g_5(\rho)}{g_1(\rho)g_6(\rho)} d\rho = h(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where hereafter the integral constants in the indefinite integrals are always set as zero. We use the following ansatz in system (2):

$$\xi = \alpha g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E} + \beta h + \gamma, \eta = \alpha g_6(\rho)\mathcal{H} + \beta\tau + \gamma, \tag{3}$$

where α, β and γ are arbitrary constants ($\beta \neq 0$). Then system (2) can be reduced to

$$\partial_h \xi = \partial_\tau \eta, \quad \partial_h \eta = f(\rho)\partial_\tau \xi. \tag{4}$$

A solution of Eqs. (4) is

$$\xi = C_1 g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E}_0 + C_2 h + C_3, \eta = C_1 g_6(\rho)\mathcal{H}_0 + C_2\tau + C_3, \tag{5}$$

where C_1, C_2 and C_3 are arbitrary constants.

If the Jacobian of Eqs. (4) $D(\xi,\eta)/D(h,\tau)=0$, then their solutions must have the form $\xi=F_1(\tau\pm\int\sqrt{f(\rho)}dh)$ and $\eta=F_2(\tau\pm\int\sqrt{f(\rho)}dh)$, where F_1 and F_2 are arbitrary functions which satisfy $F_2'(\tau\pm\int\sqrt{f(\rho)}dh)=\pm\sqrt{f(\rho)}F_1'(\tau\pm\int\sqrt{f(\rho)}dh)$. In particular, $\sqrt{f(\rho)}=\pm F_2'/F_1'=F(\tau\pm\int\sqrt{f(\rho)}dh)$ holds only if $f(\rho)$ is constant. Since our intention is transforming Eqs. (4) into some nonlinear forms, we do not need to consider this case. When the Jacobian $D(\xi,\eta)/D(h,\tau)\neq 0$, the hodograph transformation is applicable, and hence we can view ξ and η as independent variables and obtain

$$\partial_\xi h=\partial_\eta\tau,\quad \partial_\xi\tau=f(\rho)\partial_\eta h. \tag{6}$$

Here Eqs. (5) is still valid.

Now we look at system (1) for the case

$$\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E})=f(\zeta)/f(\rho), \tag{7}$$

where $\zeta=h^{-1}(\alpha g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E}+\beta h+\gamma)=h^{-1}(\xi)$ and $h^{-1}(\xi)$ is an inverse function of $h(\xi)$. Through ansatz (3) we get

$$\partial_h\xi=\partial_\tau\eta,\quad \partial_h\eta=f(\zeta)\partial_\tau\xi,$$

which share the same form as nonlinear system (6). By analogy to Eqs. (5) it yields

$$\begin{aligned} h=&C_1 g_5(\zeta)\mathcal{E}_0(\zeta,\eta)+C_2\xi+C_3,\\ \tau=&C_1 g_6(\zeta)\mathcal{H}_0(\zeta,\eta)+C_2\eta+C_3. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Expressing $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ from ansatz (3), using formulas (8) and setting $C_1=-\alpha/\beta$, $C_2=1/\beta$ and $C_3=-\gamma/\beta$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau)=\frac{g_5(\zeta)}{g_5(\rho)}\mathcal{E}_0(\zeta,\eta),\mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau)=\frac{g_6(\zeta)}{g_6(\rho)}\mathcal{H}_0(\zeta,\eta). \tag{9}$$

These expressions give an exact solution of Maxwell equations (1) in inhomogeneous nonlinear and nondispersive medium (7).

If Eqs. (1) and (7) meet the initial conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho,0)=\varphi_1(\rho),\mathcal{H}(\rho,0)=\varphi_2(\rho),$$

letting $\zeta_0=h^{-1}(\alpha g_5(\rho)\varphi_1(\rho)+\beta h(\rho)+\gamma)$ and $\eta_0=\alpha g_6(\rho)\varphi_2(\rho)+\gamma$, then the solution of Eqs. (2) meet the generalized initial conditions

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\zeta_0,\eta_0)=\frac{\varphi_1(\rho)g_5(\rho)}{g_5(\zeta_0)},\mathcal{H}_0(\zeta_0,\eta_0)=\frac{\varphi_2(\rho)g_6(\rho)}{g_6(\zeta_0)}; \tag{10}$$

if Eqs. (1) and (7) satisfy the extra conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(a,\tau)=\psi_1(\tau),\mathcal{H}(a,\tau)=\psi_2(\tau),$$

letting $\zeta_1=h^{-1}(\alpha g_5(a)\psi_1(\tau)+\beta h(a)+\gamma)$ and $\eta_1=\alpha g_6(a)\psi_2(\tau)+\beta\tau+\gamma$, then the solution of Eqs. (2) must satisfy the conditions

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\zeta_1,\eta_1)=\frac{\psi_1(\tau)g_5(a)}{g_5(\zeta_1)},\mathcal{H}_0(\zeta_1,\eta_1)=\frac{\psi_2(\tau)g_6(a)}{g_6(\zeta_1)}. \tag{11}$$

Formulas (10) and (11) can be quite simple in certain cases. For example, when $\varphi_2(\rho)=0$, generalized initial conditions (10) become $\mathcal{E}_0(\zeta_0,\gamma)=\varphi_1(\rho)g_5(\rho)/g_5(\zeta_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0(\zeta_0,\gamma)=0$.

Secondly Substituting the following ansatz into system (2):

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{h}=\int\frac{g_6(\rho)}{g_3(\rho)g_5(\rho)}d\rho=\bar{h}(\rho),\\ &\bar{\xi}=\alpha g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E}+\beta\tau+\gamma,\bar{\eta}=\alpha g_6(\rho)\mathcal{H}+\beta\bar{h}+\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\partial_{\bar{h}}\bar{\xi}=[f(\rho)]^{-1}\partial_\tau\bar{\eta},\quad \partial_{\bar{h}}\bar{\eta}=\partial_\tau\bar{\xi}. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, assuming $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_1(\rho,\tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(\rho,\tau)$ is a solution of system (2), then a solution of system (12) is

$$\bar{\xi} = C_1 g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E}_1 + C_2\tau + C_3, \bar{\eta} = C_1 g_6(\rho)\mathcal{H}_1 + C_2\bar{h} + C_3.$$

By applying the hodograph transformation to linear system (12), we get the nonlinear system

$$\partial_{\bar{\eta}}\tau = [f(\rho)]^{-1}\partial_{\bar{\xi}}\bar{h}, \quad \partial_{\bar{\xi}}\tau = \partial_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{h}. \tag{13}$$

When the function ε is chosen such that

$$\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = 1/[f(\rho)f(\bar{\zeta})], \tag{14}$$

where $\bar{\zeta} = \bar{h}^{-1}(\alpha g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E} + \beta h + \gamma) = \bar{h}^{-1}(\xi)$, then system (1) share the same form as system (13): $\partial_h\eta = [f(\bar{\zeta})]^{-1}\partial_\tau\xi$, $\partial_h\xi = \partial_\tau\eta$, by ansatz (3). Hence τ and h satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \tau =& C_1 g_5(\bar{\zeta})\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{\zeta},\eta) + C_2\eta + C_3, \\ h =& C_1 g_6(\bar{\zeta})\mathcal{H}_1(\bar{\zeta},\eta) + C_2\xi + C_3. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Substituting ansatz (3) into formulas (15), we can write an exact solution of the Maxwell equations in inhomogeneous nonlinear and nondispersive medium (14) as:

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) = \frac{g_6(\bar{\zeta})}{g_5(\rho)}\mathcal{H}_1(\bar{\zeta},\eta), \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) = \frac{g_5(\bar{\zeta})}{g_6(\rho)}\mathcal{E}_1(\bar{\zeta},\eta). \tag{16}$$

For the preassigned conditions of Eqs. (1) and (14), it is not difficult to get the corresponding conditions of $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$.

Thirdly We consider a more generic linear system arising from (1), in which ε is an arbitrary function of ρ:

$$\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = g(h(\rho))/f(\rho), \tag{17}$$

where g is an arbitrary function. If we define

$$\tilde{g}_3(\rho) = g_3(\rho)f(\rho)/g(h(\rho)), \tilde{g}_4(\rho) = g_4(\rho)f(\rho)/g(h(\rho)),$$

then the linear system becomes

$$g_1(\rho)\partial_\rho\mathcal{E} + g_2(\rho)\mathcal{E} = \partial_\tau\mathcal{H}, \tilde{g}_3(\rho)\partial_\rho\mathcal{H} + \tilde{g}_4(\rho)\mathcal{H} = \partial_\tau\mathcal{E}, \tag{18}$$

which is a case we have just discussed. Hence an exact solution of the following nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\rho)\partial_\rho\mathcal{E} + g_2(\rho)\mathcal{E} &= \partial_\tau\mathcal{H}, \\ \tilde{g}_3(\rho)\partial_\rho\mathcal{H} + \tilde{g}_4(\rho)\mathcal{H} &= [g(\xi)/g(h(\rho))]\partial_\tau\mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

is given by formulas (9), where $\mathcal{E}_0(\rho,\tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}_0(\rho,\tau)$ represent a solution of system (18). In other words, if $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a solution of Maxwell equations (1) in linear medium (17), then an exact solution of the equations in the inhomogeneous nonlinear and nondispersive medium

$$\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = g(\xi)/f(\rho) = g(\alpha g_5(\rho)\mathcal{E} + \beta h + \gamma)/f(\rho), \tag{19}$$

is Eqs. (9). Similarly, defining $G(\rho) = \int g(\rho)d\rho$ and $\tilde{\zeta} = h^{-1}(G(\xi))$, and assuming $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_1(\rho,\tau)$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(\rho,\tau)$ being a solution of Eqs. (1) in the linear medium

$$\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = 1/[f(\rho)g(G^{-1}(h(\rho)))], \tag{20}$$

we can also find an exact solution of the equations in medium (19) according to formulas (16):

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) = \frac{g_6(\tilde{\zeta})}{g_5(\rho)}\mathcal{H}_1(\tilde{\zeta},\eta), \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) = \frac{g_5(\tilde{\zeta})}{g_6(\rho)}\mathcal{E}_1(\tilde{\zeta},\eta). \tag{21}$$

Since g in medium (19) is an arbitrary function, we have obtained the exact solutions of electromagnetic wave in arbitrary nonlinear and particular inhomogeneous media without dispersion, using the solutions of either of the

TABLE I. The specific expressions of media and ansatz; g is an arbitrary function, $G(\rho)=\int g(\rho)d\rho$ and G^{-1} is an inverse function of G.

Coordinates	Media; Solutions	Ansatz
rectangular,	$g(\rho)$; $\mathcal{E}_0$,$\mathcal{H}_0$	$\xi=\alpha\mathcal{E}+\beta\rho+\gamma$
(x,y,z),$\rho\propto x$	$1/g(G^{-1}(\rho))$; $\mathcal{E}_1$,$\mathcal{H}_1$	$\eta=\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau+\gamma$
and z-axis	$g(\alpha\mathcal{E}+\beta\rho+\gamma)$; $\mathcal{E}$,$\mathcal{H}$	$\zeta=\xi$
polarization	$g_5(\rho)=1$,$g_6(\rho)=1$	$\tilde{\zeta}=G(\xi)$
cylindrical,	$g(\ln\rho)/\rho^2$; $\mathcal{E}_0$,$\mathcal{H}_0$	$\xi=\alpha\mathcal{E}+\beta\ln\rho+\gamma$
(r,ϕ,z),$\rho\propto r$	$1/[\rho^2 g(G^{-1}(\ln\rho))]$; $\mathcal{E}_1$,$\mathcal{H}_1$	$\eta=\alpha\rho\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau+\gamma$
and z-axis	$g(\alpha\mathcal{E}+\beta\ln\rho+\gamma)/\rho^2$; $\mathcal{E}$,$\mathcal{H}$	$\zeta=e^{\xi}$
polarization	$g_5(\rho)=1$,$g_6(\rho)=\rho$	$\tilde{\zeta}=e^{G(\xi)}$
cylindrical,	$\rho^2 g(\frac{-\rho^2}{2})$; $\mathcal{E}_0$,$\mathcal{H}_0$	$\xi=\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}-\beta\frac{\rho^2}{2}+\gamma$
(r,ϕ,z),$\rho\propto r$	$\rho^2/g(G^{-1}(\frac{-\rho^2}{2}))$; $\mathcal{E}_1$,$\mathcal{H}_1$	$\eta=\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau+\gamma$
and ϕ-axis	$\rho^2 g(\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}-\beta\frac{\rho^2}{2}+\gamma)$; $\mathcal{E}$,$\mathcal{H}$	$\zeta=\sqrt{-2\xi}$
polarization	$g_5(\rho)=\rho$,$g_6(\rho)=1$	$\tilde{\zeta}=\sqrt{-2G(\xi)}$
spherical,	$g(\rho)$; $\mathcal{E}_0$,$\mathcal{H}_0$	$\xi=\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}+\beta\rho+\gamma$
(r,θ,ϕ),$\rho\propto r$	$1/g(G^{-1}(\rho))$; $\mathcal{E}_1$,$\mathcal{H}_1$	$\eta=\alpha\rho\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau+\gamma$
and ϕ-axis	$g(\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}+\beta\rho+\gamma)$; $\mathcal{E}$,$\mathcal{H}$	$\zeta=\xi$
polarization	$g_5(\rho)=\rho$,$g_6(\rho)=\rho$	$\tilde{\zeta}=G(\xi)$

corresponding two linear systems. For medium (19), α and β embody the intensity of the nonlinear and inhomogeneous factor, respectively. The larger value $|\alpha|$ means stronger nonlinearity. In practice, by using different substrate materials and designing different cellular architectures, the metamaterial opens a door to realize all possible material properties [15, 16]. For Eqs. (1) and medium (19) with the given definite-solution conditions, we can choose a simpler linear medium from (17) and (20), as formulas (9) and (21) return the same result. Taking $\alpha=0$, $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0(\rho,\tau)&=\frac{g_6(h^{-1}(G(h)))}{g_5(\rho)}\mathcal{H}_1(h^{-1}(G(h)),\tau),\\ \mathcal{H}_0(\rho,\tau)&=\frac{g_5(h^{-1}(G(h)))}{g_6(\rho)}\mathcal{E}_1(h^{-1}(G(h)),\tau),\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

which can be verified by straightforward differentiation. Taking $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=0$, with the weakening of nonlinearity, in the limit $\alpha\to 0$, the solution $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is reduced into the solution $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ which corresponds to linear medium (17).

Table I lists the specific expressions of media and ansatz in rectangular, cylindrical and spherical coordinates. Now, let us discuss some examples.

Cylindrical shock wave, initial-boundary value problem Consider a ϕ-axis polarized cylindrical wave in vacuum of the following form:

$$-\partial_\rho\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}/\rho=\partial_\tau\mathcal{H},-\partial_\rho\mathcal{H}=\partial_\tau\mathcal{E},\tag{23a}$$

$$\rho>0,\mathcal{E}(\rho,0)=0,\mathcal{H}(\rho,0)=1/\sqrt{1+\rho^2}.\tag{23b}$$

Its solution can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1(\rho,\tau)&=-\frac{1}{\rho}\mathrm{Re}\{i[1-\frac{1-i\tau}{\sqrt{(1-i\tau)^2+\rho^2}}]\},\\ \mathcal{H}_1(\rho,\tau)&=\mathrm{Re}\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-i\tau)^2+\rho^2}}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

According to Eq. (7) and Eq. (14), the corresponding two nonlinear medium are $\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E})=\frac{\rho}{\beta\rho-2\alpha\mathcal{E}}$ and $\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E})=\rho^2 e^{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}$. As mentioned earlier, α and β embody the intensity of the nonlinear and inhomogeneous factor, respectively. Ferroelectric bulk materials, ferroelectric thin films and superlattices are typical examples of such medium [17–19]. Note that ferroelectric materials are known to be of great interest for many promising applications [20]. After substituting functions Eqs. (24) into formulas (16), the exact solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}&-\partial_\rho\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}/\rho=\partial_\tau\mathcal{H},-\partial_\rho\mathcal{H}=\rho^2 e^{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}\partial_\tau\mathcal{E},\rho>a>0,\\ &0<\beta,\mathcal{E}(\rho,0)=1/\sqrt{\rho^2+\rho^2 e^{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}(\rho,0)}},\mathcal{H}(\rho,0)=0,\end{aligned}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) &= \frac{1}{\rho}\mathrm{Re}\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-i(\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau))^2+e^{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}}}\},\\ \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) &= -\frac{e^{\beta\rho^2/2-\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}}{\rho}\mathrm{Re}\{i[1-\frac{1-i(\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau)}{\sqrt{(1-i(\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau))^2+e^{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}}}]\}.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Figure 1 shows the results of numerical calculation of $\mathcal{E}$ using formulas (25) in the case with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = -0.1$, $\beta = 1$. At various τ, the electric fields as function of the coordinate ρ in linear inhomogeneous media $\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = \rho^2 e^{\rho^2}$ and nonlinear inhomogeneous media $\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = \rho^2 e^{\rho^2+0.2\rho\mathcal{E}}$ are shown by the dash-dotted and solid curves, respectively. Their difference is small within some time of the beginning. It is worth mentioning that the velocity of the peak of the nonlinear electric field is slower than that of the trailing edge, and thus leads to the trailing edge becomes steeper and steeper with increasing τ, which is called self-steepening [21, 22] and eventually creates a cylindrical electromagnetic shock wave at a point $\rho = \rho^*$ at time $\tau = \tau^*$. In the absence of dispersion, the solution described by Eqs. (25) becomes unsuitable when $\tau > \tau^*$ because multiple different values of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying Eqs. (25) correspond to the same value of ρ, leading to appearance of discontinuities of wave components.



FIG. 1. Linear (dash-dotted curves) and nonlinear (solid curves) electric fields of cylindrical wave (25) as function of the coordinate ρ at various times.

Now we consider linear wave Eq (23a) satisfying initial-boundary conditions

$$0 < \rho \le 1, \mathcal{E}(\rho,0) = A\rho(1-\rho^2), \partial_\tau\mathcal{E}|_{(\rho,0)} = 0, \lim_{\rho\to 0}|\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau)| < \infty, \mathcal{E}(1,\tau) = 0,$$

where A is an amplitude factor ($A \ge 0$). Here boundary value problem describes free electromagnetic oscillations with the given initial field distribution in vacuum cavity which is a perfectly conducting circular cylinder of the unit radius and arbitrary height. We can obtain the solution of such a system by the method of variable separation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{16A}{\omega_n^3 J_2(\omega_n)}J_1(\omega_n\rho)\cos(\omega_n\tau),\\ \mathcal{H}_0 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{-16A}{\omega_n^3 J_2(\omega_n)}J_0(\omega_n\rho)\sin(\omega_n\tau),\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where J_ν represents the first kind of the order ν of the Bessel function and ω_n is the nth positive root of $J_1(\omega) = 0$. After substituting functions Eqs. (26) into formulas (9), the exact solution of the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}&-\partial_\rho\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}/\rho = \partial_\tau\mathcal{H}, -\partial_\rho\mathcal{H} = \rho\partial_\tau\mathcal{E}/(\beta\rho - 2\alpha\mathcal{E}), 0 < \beta, -(2A)^{-1} \le \alpha \le (2A)^{-1}, 0 < \rho \le 1/\sqrt{\beta}\\ &\mathcal{E}(\rho,0) = \frac{4\alpha\beta\rho^2 + \sqrt{(A^{-1}+2\alpha)^2 - 8\alpha A^{-1}\beta\rho^2} - (A^{-1}+2\alpha)}{8\alpha^2\rho}, \partial_\tau\mathcal{E}|_{(\rho,0)} = 0, \mathcal{E}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}},\tau) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\rho,\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{16A\sqrt{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}}}{\omega_n^3 J_2(\omega_n)\rho}J_1(\omega_n\sqrt{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}})\cos[\omega_n(\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau)],\\ \mathcal{H}(\rho,\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{-16A}{\omega_n^3 J_2(\omega_n)}J_0(\omega_n\sqrt{\beta\rho^2-2\alpha\rho\mathcal{E}})\sin[\omega_n(\alpha\mathcal{H}+\beta\tau)].\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$



FIG. 2. Frequency spectrum of the electric field of cylindrical wave (27) at $\rho = 0.0001$ by using $\alpha = 0.4$, $\beta = 9$, $A = 0.28$ and retaining 80 terms of the series over n.

The exact solution (27) is very complicated and can be studied only numerically. When selecting finite terms of (27) for numerical calculations, one should properly choose amplitude A, to make inequality $2\max(\alpha\mathcal{E}/\rho) < \beta$ hold.

Figure 2 shows the frequency spectrum of the electric field of formulas (27) at $\rho = 0.0001$ by using $\alpha = 0.4$, $\beta = 9$, $A = 0.28$ and retaining 80 terms of the series over n. We can find numerous spectral components that can be attributed to the harmonics and various combination frequencies of ω_n existing in the frequency spectrum. Due to aperiodicity of the zero of $J_1(\omega)$, the spectral component in Fig. 2 is almost continuous.

Boundary value problem, sum- and difference-frequency Now we consider the spherical wave below,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\rho\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}/\rho = \partial_\tau\mathcal{H}, \partial_\rho\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}/\rho = (\alpha\rho\mathcal{E} + \beta\rho)^{-4}\partial_\tau\mathcal{E},\\ &\beta \neq 0, \mathcal{E}(a,\tau) = 0, \mathcal{E}(b,\tau) = 0, 0 < a \leq \rho \leq b,\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

which describe the behavior of the fields in a hollow sphere with perfectly conducting walls and a nonlinear inhomogeneous and nondispersive filling medium. Actually, it is possible to fabricate the material with all possible properties by considering metamaterials [16, 23, 24]. According to Table I, one of the corresponding linear polarization functions is $\varepsilon(\rho,\mathcal{E}) = \rho^{-4}$, and the corresponding boundary value is $\mathcal{E}_0(\beta a,\tau) = \mathcal{E}_0(\beta b,\tau) = 0$ and the solution of the linear system can be easily obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0 =& A\sin(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho})\cos(\lambda_n\tau),\\ \mathcal{H}_0 =& [\frac{A}{\lambda_n\rho}\sin(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho}) + \frac{A}{\rho^2}\cos(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\rho})]\sin(\lambda_n\tau),\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_n = \frac{\beta abn\pi}{b-a}$ and A is an amplitude factor. According to formulas (9), the exact solution of Eqs. (28) is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} =& (A\alpha\mathcal{E} + A\beta)\sin(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\alpha\rho\mathcal{E} + \beta\rho})\cos[\lambda_n(\alpha\rho\mathcal{H} + \beta\tau)],\\ \mathcal{H} =& [\frac{A}{\lambda_n\rho}\sin(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\alpha\rho\mathcal{E} + \beta\rho}) + \frac{A}{\alpha\rho^2\mathcal{E} + \beta\rho^2}\cos(\frac{\lambda_n}{\beta a} - \frac{\lambda_n}{\alpha\rho\mathcal{E} + \beta\rho})]\sin[\lambda_n(\alpha\rho\mathcal{H} + \beta\tau)].\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$



(a) (b)

FIG. 3. (a) Frequency spectrum of the electric field of spherical wave (29) in the $n = 1$ mode by using $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $A = 1$. (b) Efficiencies of second harmonic generation with different ρ, which ranges from 1 to 2.

Figure 3 shows the result of the fast Fourier transform of the exact solution in Eqs. (29) in the $n = 1$ mode. Fig. 3(a) shows the frequency spectrum of the electric field at various ρ by using $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and

$A = 1$. Obviously, there is a high harmonic component in the frequency spectrum. Defining η_s as the ratio of the amplitude of the second harmonic to that of the base frequency, Fig. 3(b) shows the efficiency η_s of second harmonic as a function of sphere radius ρ.

Similarly to cylindrical nonlinear waves [4], it should be noted that the electric field $\mathcal{E}$ and magnetic field $\mathcal{H}$ determined by (29) become ambiguous when the mode n greater than or equal to a threshold n^*, where n^* is an integer depending on the parameter α, β, a, b and A (e.g., $n^* = 2$ for $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $A = 1$). Since such behavior is not physically admissible, the spherical standing waves solutions (29) obtained without allowance for dispersion become inapplicable when $n \geq n^*$.

For two z-axis polarized cylindrical waves with frequencies ϖ_1 and ϖ_2 propagating in the infinite inhomogeneous and nonlinear medium $\varepsilon(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 1/[2\rho^2(\alpha\mathcal{E} + \beta \ln \rho)]$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\rho \mathcal{E} = \partial_\tau \mathcal{H}, \partial_\rho \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}/\rho = \partial_\tau \mathcal{E}/[2\rho^2(\alpha\mathcal{E} + \beta \ln \rho)],\\ &\beta > 0, \mathcal{E}(a, \tau) = 0, 1 < a \leq \rho.\end{aligned}$$

According to Table I, the corresponding two linear media are $\varepsilon(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 1/(2\rho^2 \ln \rho)$ and $\varepsilon(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 2$. We choose the latter since it is more convenient to calculate as "seed". By the superposition principle of the corresponding linear problem and formulas (21), we obtain the solution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\rho, \tau) =& \sum_{n=1}^{2} [J_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\beta \ln a}) Y_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\alpha\mathcal{E} + 2\beta \ln \rho}) - Y_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\beta \ln a}) J_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\alpha\mathcal{E} + 2\beta \ln \rho})]\\ &\times A_n \sqrt{\alpha\mathcal{E} + \beta \ln \rho} \sin[\varpi_n(\alpha\rho\mathcal{H} + \beta\tau)],\\ \mathcal{H}(\rho, \tau) =& \sum_{n=1}^{2} [Y_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\beta \ln a}) J_0(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\alpha\mathcal{E} + 2\beta \ln \rho}) - J_1(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\beta \ln a}) Y_0(\varpi_n \sqrt{2\alpha\mathcal{E} + 2\beta \ln \rho})]\\ &\times \frac{A_n}{\sqrt{2}\rho} \cos[\varpi_n(\alpha\rho\mathcal{H} + \beta\tau)],\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where J_ν and Y_ν represent the first and the second kind of the order ν of the Bessel function respectively, and A_1 and A_2 are amplitude factor. Here we should properly choose A_1 and A_2, to make inequality $\max(-\alpha\mathcal{E}/\ln \rho) < \beta$ hold.



(a) (b)

FIG. 4. (a)Frequency spectrum of the electric field of Eqs. (30) when two cylindrical waves propagate in an infinite nonlinear medium. We use $\rho = \exp(1)$, $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = 9$, $a = \exp(0.5)$, $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, $\varpi_1 = \frac{2\pi}{9}$ and $\varpi_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ($\omega_1 = \frac{9\varpi_1}{2\pi}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{9\varpi_2}{2\pi}$). (b) Efficiencies of generation of sum frequency (η_{su}) and difference frequency (η_{di}) with different ρ, which ranges from 1.65 to 2.71.

Figure 4(a) shows the frequency spectrum of the electric field determined by Eqs. (30) at $\rho = \exp(1)$ by using $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = 9$, $a = \exp(0.5)$, $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, $\varpi_1 = \frac{2\pi}{9}$ and $\varpi_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$ ($\omega_1 = \frac{9\varpi_1}{2\pi}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{9\varpi_2}{2\pi}$). We can see that the sum frequency and the difference frequency are pronounced. Defining η_{su} as the ratio between amplitudes of the sum frequency $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ and the base frequency ω_1 and η_{di} as the ratio between amplitudes of the difference frequency $\omega_2 - \omega_1$ and the base frequency ω_1, Fig. 4(b) shows the the efficiencies of generation of sum frequency (η_{su}) and difference frequency (η_{di}) with different ρ, which ranges from 1.65 to 2.71. It is shown that η_{su} increases with ρ while η_{di} decreases with ρ.

In conclusion, we have solved the Maxwell equations with arbitrary nonlinearity and particular inhomogeneity and gain. The exact solutions are obtained for different problems, and the underlying physics are discussed. These results show how to solve nonlinear systems from the exact solutions of some linear systems.

We thank Kaijie Chen and Ding Liu for constructive advice. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 111401405).

* makaluo@scu.edu.cn; † lianghscu@gmail.com

[1] G.B.Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves* (Wiley, New York, 1974).
[2] Y. R. Shen, *Principles of Nonlinear Optics* (Wiley, New York, 1984).
[3] N. Bloembergen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 685 (1982).
[4] E. Y. Petrov and A. V. Kudrin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 190404 (2010).
[5] H. Xiong, L.-G. Si, P. Huang, and X. Yang, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 057602 (2010).
[6] A. V. Kudrin and E. Y. Petrov, JETP **110**, 537 (2010).
[7] V. A. Es'kin, A. V. Kudrin, and E. Y. Petrov, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 067602 (2011).
[8] H. Xiong, L.-G. Si, C. Ding, X. Yang, and Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 043841 (2011).
[9] H. Xiong, L.-G. Si, J. F. Guo, X.-Y. Lü, and X. Yang, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 063845 (2011).
[10] E. Y. Petrov and A. V. Kudrin, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 055202 (2012).
[11] H. Xiong, L.-G. Si, C. Ding, X.-Y. Lü, X. Yang, and Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 016602 (2012).
[12] H. Xiong, L.-G. Si, C. Ding, X. Yang, and Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 016606 (2012).
[13] S.-Y. Chen, T. Li, J.-B. Xie, H. Xie, P. Zhou, Y.-F. Tian, H. Xiong, and L.-G. Si, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 035202 (2013).
[14] M. Ranjbar and A. Bahari, Opt. Commun. **375**, 19 (2016).
[15] M. Lapine, I. V. Shadrivov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1093 (2014).
[16] T. J. Cui, D. Smith, and R. Liu, *Metamaterials: Theory, Design, and Applications* (Springer, New York, 2009).
[17] H. Chen, C. Yang, C. Fu, L. Zhao, and Z. Gao, Appl. Surf. Sci. **252**, 4171 (2006).
[18] P. Zubko, J. C. Wojde, M. Hadjimichael, S. Fernandez-Pena, A. Sen, I. Lukyanchuk, J.-M. Triscone, and J. iguez, Nature **534**, 524 (2016).
[19] D. D. Fong, G. B. Stephenson, S. K. Streiffer, J. A. Eastman, O. Auciello, P. H. Fuoss, and C. Thompson, Science **304**, 1650 (2004).
[20] M. Dawber, K. M. Rabe, and J. F. Scott, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1083 (2005).
[21] G. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **139**, A539 (1965).
[22] F. DeMartini, C. H. Townes, T. K. Gustafson, and P. L. Kelley, Phys. Rev. **164**, 312 (1967).
[23] D. Schurig, J. J. Mock, B. J. Justice, S. A. Cummer, J. B. Pendry, A. F. Starr, and D. R. Smith, Science **314**, 977 (2006).
[24] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith, Science **312**, 1780 (2006).